6258264-v1

EXTEND TIME TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT (L.R. 8-3)

Case 2:12-cv-09229-GAF-FFM Document 29-1 Filed 12/04/12 Page 1 of 2 Page ID #:127

Case 2:12-cv-09229-GAF-FFM Document 29-1 Filed 12/04/12 Page 2 of 2 Page ID #:128

On December 3, 2012, the parties filed with the Court a Stipulation seeking to extend the 1 2 deadline by which Defendant Redefine Nutrition must respond to the Complaint until January 3, 3 2013. In support of the Stipulation, Redefine Nutrition submitted that good cause exists to grant 4 the Stipulation because; (1) Redefine Nutrition just recently retained undersigned counsel, and 5 undersigned counsel requires additional time to evaluate and investigate the allegations and 6 claims set forth in the Complaint; (2) no party will be prejudiced by the relief sought and 7 Plaintiff consents to the requested extension; (3) trial will not be delayed because the Court has 8 not set any trial date or other deadline in this case; and (4) no party has required any extension of 9 any deadline in this action. 10 Upon consideration of the Stipulation, and for good cause shown, IT IS HEREBY 11 **ORDERED** that Defendant Redefine Nutrition shall respond to the Complaint by no later than 12 January 3, 2013. 13 IT IS SO ORDERED. 310-229-9900 14 15 Dated: 16 The Honorable Gary A. Feess United States District Judge 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

2049 CENTURY PARK EAST, SUITE 2100 LOS ANGELES, CA 90067

VENABLE LLP